

THOMAS WILLIAMS
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

KANOE MARGOL
DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

# TESTIMONY BY THOMAS WILLIAMS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE OF HAWAII

## TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT ON

SENATE BILL NO. 2346, S.D. 2

MARCH 18, 2016, 10:00 A.M.

#### RELATING TO THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Chair Nakashima, Vice Chair Keohokalole and Members of the Committee,

S.B. 2346, S.D. 2, would allow the Board of Trustees of the Employees' Retirement System of the State of Hawaii (ERS), through its executive director, to appoint one or more investment professionals, investment specialists and investment support staff, who may be exempt from chapters 76 and 89, Hawaii Revised Statutes. In addition, S.B. 2346, S.D.2 would authorize the ERS to make direct payments to the spouse or former spouse of an ERS member or retirant when the spouse of former spouse has been awarded all or a portion of the member's or retirant's retirement benefits pursuant to a qualified domestic relations order (QDRO).

S.B. 2346, S.D. 2 is comprised of two unrelated sections of Chapter 88, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS). Part I would amend section 88-29.5 regarding investment personnel and Part II would add a new section to Part IIC of Chapter 88 to authorize the ERS to make direct payments to alternate payees under a QDRO.

 The ERS Board of Trustees supports part I of S.B. 2346, S.D. 2 and believes it would be beneficial to the ERS and its members.



Successful investment of the funds of the Employees' Retirement System is one of the primary pathways to the stability and sustainability of the system. A member's retirement benefit is funded through a combination of employer and employee contributions and investment earnings. Seventy to eighty percent of benefits provided are attributable to investment earnings. The system currently has over fourteen billion dollars in domestic and international investments and decisions made by the Board of Trustees of the system concerning these investments are critical to the current and future funding of the system, which has an unfunded actuarial accrued liability of eight billion seven hundred million dollars and a funded ratio of 62.2% as of June 30, 2015.

Although the members of the Board of Trustees, as fiduciaries, are responsible for the general management of the system's assets and implementation of the system's investment policy, they rely heavily on internal and external investment experts for advice. The role of assisting and advising the board in managing the system's assets falls primarily with the system's investment staff consisting of a Chief Investment Officer, two strategy specific officers (liquid and illiquid investments), a risk officer and two Investment Specialists who are civil service employees.

The current staffing structure is not expected to meet our long term needs. Staffing will need to be augmented given the complexity of portfolios our size and the dynamics of modern financial markets. The investment portfolio has increased its allocations to equity products, covered calls, real return assets, and private equity. In addition, the ERS is transitioning its portfolio to a risk-based asset allocation program, the implementation of which will require additional attention, monitoring, review and rebalancing. This rebalancing will be outsourced initially at considerable expense, and we would like eventually to bring the function in-house.

Dedication to these investments requires experience and expertise of seasoned professionals. Investment office staff provides the Board with ongoing tactical and strategic insights into the risk-return trade-offs of multiple asset class investments. Establishing our Investment Specialists as true members of the team will help with retention and provide a career path for these talented staff members. Any associated costs will be derived solely from ERS monies.

In order to implement Part II of S.B. 2346, S.D. 2 the ERS must have the adequate time, funding and resources for rule-making, member and public education, computer upgrades and testing, and the review of potential domestic relations orders. Alternate payees represent additional, separate benefit recipients of the System. The payments to alternate payees are not mere deductions from the ERS retiree's benefit. As specified by this bill, each alternate payee will be identified as a separate "pensioner" under the ERS with the alternate payee's own actuarially calculated benefit, post-retirement increases, tax withholding and reporting and entitlement to counseling. Modifications to the ERS's computer system will be necessary to properly administer QDROs and the ERS computer vendor estimated upwards of \$1 million for the modifications, changes,

processing, reporting and coding required by this draft legislation. Similar public pension systems have indicated that legal and actuarial review and a dedicated professional benefits staff person would be necessary for the accurate and efficient processing of QDROs. The ERS staff estimates that, based on our active, vested and retired population, we could potentially receive 40 QDROs per month. The review and revision of prior court divorce decrees is likely to affect our administrative capabilities even more.

Without the funding and resource provisions appropriated by sections 6 and 7 of S.B. 2346, S.D. 2 the ERS will not be able to implement the requirements and additional payments stipulated by this proposal. The ERS board's support is subject to the provision of these additional resources.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on these important measures.



Jodi S. Yamamoto, Esq. President, Board of Directors

M. Nalani Fujimori Kaina, Esq. Executive Director

### TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT REQUESTING AMENDMENTS TO SB2346 SD2 – RELATING TO THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Committee on Labor - Room 309

Rep. Mark M. Nakashima, Chair Rep. Jarrett Keohokalole, Vice Chair

March 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.

The Legal Aid Society of Hawaii submits strong testimony in support of HB2121 – Relating to the Employees' Retirement System. However, we are asking that the Committee on Labor consider amendments which would allow for waiver of any service fees charged by the ERS for those who are living in poverty.

We support this bill as it will provide a mechanism for a former spouse to enforce their right to a state employee's retirement benefits without needing to enforce via court action. For many of our clients who have been awarded a portion of their former spouse's retirement benefits, legal enforcement to have their former spouse pay them that benefit is limited. As an organization, Legal Aid receives thousands of requests for assistance and cannot assist everyone who needs help. As such, this bill would go a long way to ensure that former spouses have access to retirement benefits without needing to go to court.

However, we are concerned that the service fees to be set by ERS, do not have any provisions to allow for waiver of such fees upon showing of good cause and/or poverty status. For our client population, affording service fees could be a barrier to accessing ERS' services to receive a portion of their former spouse's retirement. We, therefore, ask that the Committee amend this bill to allow for waiver of fees upon a showing of an inability to pay.

As members of the Committee on Labor, we ask for your support on this measure with our requested amendments.

Thank you for this opportunity to provide testimony.

Sincerely,

M. Nalani Fujimori Kaina Executive Director

The Legal Aid Society of Hawaii (Legal Aid) is the only legal service provider with offices on every island in the state, and in 2015 provided legal assistance to over 8,500 Hawai'i residents in the areas of consumer fraud, public assistance, family law, the prevention of homelessness, employment, protection from domestic violence, and immigration. Our mission is to achieve fairness and justice through legal advocacy, outreach and education for those in need.





Thomas D. Farrell
Certified Specialist in Family Law'
tom@farrell-hawaii.com
Anthony A. Perrault
tony@farrell-hawaii.com
J. Alberto Montalbano
juan@farrell-hawaii.com
Leslie Ching Allen
leslie@farrell-hawaii.com

#### TESTIMONY OF THOMAS D. FARRELL

Regarding Senate Bill 2346 SD2 Relating to the Employees' Retirement System

House Committees on Labor and Public Employment and Human Services

Friday, March 18, 2016 10:00 a.m. Conference Room 309, State Capitol

Good morning Members of the Committees:

I support SB 2346 SD2.

All retirement plans, including ERS, are marital property and are divisible by the Family Court in a divorce action. This legislation does not change that. In most cases, when a non-member is awarded a share of a member's retirement plan, direct payment can be had from the plan administrator. In the private sector, this occurs by way of a "Qualified Domestic Relations Order" and there are similar devices in the case of military and federal Civil Service retirement pay. However, because of the inalienability provisions of Chapter 88, when ERS retirement plans are divided in a divorce, the plan member must make the payment to the former spouse and the plan administrator is not allowed to do so. This bill would reverse that and bring ERS into line with all other retirement plans.

This change would benefit the former spouse as well as the ERS member. In the case of the former spouse, the bill would ensure that he or she gets what the court ordered. In the case of the member, the bill would relieve him or her from a lifetime of writing monthly checks, and would also ensure that the ERS retiree is taxed only on that portion that he or she actually receives.

This bill is similar to SB 1324 which passed last session, but was vetoed by Governor Ige due to concerns over cost, and that the funding mechanism might jeopardize the tax exempt status of the system. This new version is the product of a year of study by ERS and incorporates pages and pages of technical language as well as detailed language for the pass-through of legal and actuarial costs, together with an appropriation. If this makes ERS happy, so be it.

I understand that even after all of this, ERS will continue to oppose this needed legislation due to the cost of implementation. I have previously testified and continue to believe that ERS's estimates of the cost of implementation are far-fetched and have no basis in reality. In testimony last year before the Senate Ways and Means Committee, ERS claimed that it will take a million dollars to implement this, and in testimony a week later in front of the House Finance Committee, ERS upped its estimate to \$2 million---a figure they maintained last March during

Divorce ♦ Paternity ♦ Custody ♦ Child Support ♦ TROs ♦ Arbitration also handling national security cases involving revocation or denial of security clearances

700 Bishop Street, Suite 2000, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.535.8468 ♦ Fax 808.585.9568 ♦ on the web at: www.farrell-hawaii.com Testimony on SB2346 SD2 March 18, 2016 page 2

testimony before the Senate Committee on Judiciary and Labor. I suggest to you that these numbers are utter nonsense, and are not supported by any serious analysis.

There are about 5,000 divorces per year that are granted in Hawaii. About 1.4M people live in the State of Hawaii. This includes all the military folks that are assigned here. There are about 70,000 state and county employees. If the proportion of divorces involving state or county employees is the same as their proportion to the general population, then 5% of divorces will involve at least one ERS member spouse. That's a potential universe is 250 decrees per year to handle. However, most divorce decrees don't divide pension benefits; this tends to occur only in long marriages where there aren't sufficient assets to award the non-member to offset his/her interest in the member's pension. Perhaps 20% of these divorces would involve division of the ERS pension. That gets it down to about 50 cases per year. While there are potentially hundreds of divorce decrees out there that already divide ERS pensions, none of them will comply with the requirements that SB 2346 will impose without a trip back to Family Court for amendment. Most people aren't going to do that if the retirant is making direct payment in accordance with the existing decree or hasn't retired yet. The bottom line is that it shouldn't take \$2M to process 50 or so divorce decrees a year.

ERS has previously defended their inflated estimate by claiming that this number was given to them by the contractor who has designed their proprietary computer system. They say it takes \$2 million to rewrite the program to allow payment to a third-party non-member. That's nonsense because ERS is making deductions from members' retired pay and sending it to third-parties already. They withhold federal taxes, for example, and send them to the IRS. And they withhold child support when presented with a child support income withholding order, which can come from any one of literally hundreds of child support enforcement agencies throughout the country.

So don't let ERS scare you with big numbers that have no basis in fact. The real reason is that they just don't want to be bothered to do this. Everyone else does, however, and it's time for ERS to join the rest of the world.



### HAWAII GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION

AFSCME Local 152, AFL-CIO

RANDY PERREIRA, Executive Director • Tel: 808.543.0011 • Fax: 808.528.0922

The Twenty-Eighth Legislature, State of Hawaii House of Representatives Committee on Labor & Public Employment



Testimony by
Hawaii Government Employees Association
March 18, 2016

S.B. 2346, S.D. 2 - RELATING TO THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The Hawaii Government Employees Association, AFSCME Local 152, AFL-CIO strongly opposes Section 1 of S.B. 2346, S.D. 2, which excludes positions from collective bargaining and expands the exemption from civil service within the Employees' Retirement System (ERS), however we support the purpose and intent of Section 2 of S.B. 2346, S.D. 2, which authorizes and requires the ERS to make direct payments to a spouse or a former spouse pursuant to a Qualified domestic relations order.

As drafted, Section 1 of this legislation allows the ERS to exempt from civil service and exclude from collective bargaining investment professionals and support staff, which creates a government workplace of "at will" employment with minimal employee rights. Further, Section 1 grants the ERS Board of Trustees the authority and sole discretion to exempt and exclude – a power that we respectfully argue should remain vested with the Legislature. Collectively, the expansion of excluding and exempting positions in state government is in direct conflict with the Article XVI, Section 1 of the Hawaii State Constitution and Chapters 76 and 89, HRS, which establish a merit-based civil service system free from coercive political influences and collective bargaining.

Separately, we support the provisions of Section 2 of S.B. 2346. A qualified domestic relations order is a court ordered decree that recognizes an alternative payee's right to receive all or a portion of a benefits payable. Our members have raised concerns with the current process whereby an individual who is authorized by a domestic relations order to receive payments must rely on his or her former spouse to send the payment. In some cases, the former spouse does not send the full amount due, does not send payment in a timely manner, or does not send it at all, which causes unnecessary hardship to the individual. Passage of this legislation ensures that court orders are followed and that individuals receive regular, direct payment from the ERS instead of relying on a former spouse to send payment.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in opposition of Section 1 and in support of Section 2 of S.B. 2346, S.D. 2.

Randy Perreira

**Executive Director** 

espectfully submitted,